Vilnius urban sustainability assessment with an emphasis on pollution

Edmundas Zavadskas¹,

Artūras Kaklauskas²,

Jonas Šaparauskas¹,

Darius Kalibatas¹

¹Department of Construction Technology and Management

² Department of Construction Economics and Property Management, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Saulėtekio al. 11, LT-10223 Vilnius, Lithuania E-mail: Edmundas.Zavadskas@adm.vtu.lt Successful strategies for sustainable urban development should be more or less compatible with political, economic, social, cultural, institutional, technological, environmental, legal / regulatory and educational situations in the country. The list of urban sustainability indicators is not finite. Each year hundreds of social, economic and environmental indicators are being established in the world. Therefore, decision-making methods should be used in order to evaluate successful strategies for sustainable urban development. The above questions have been analysed in the paper. In order to shed more light on the analysis of Vilnius urban sustainability with an emphasis on pollution, particular recommendations for environmental factors such as transport and pollution, noise, indoor microclimate and allergens, their impact on real estate price, market value and mass appraisal value are presented.

Key words: sustainable urban development, pollution, decision making, case studies

INTRODUCTION

Air pollutant emissions from stationary sources in Vilnius are the greatest among the other cities in the country. Emissions from energy producing objects have decreased due to the smaller amount of thermal energy produced and the lower use of fuel with a high sulphur concentration. In total, the share of emissions from transport has been increasing. Transport emits about 88% of all pollutant emissions in Vilnius. Industry, energy and transport are the main soil pollutants in Vilnius, and lead is accumulating on the roadsides of busy streets. In territories with industrial enterprises, excessive amounts of heavy metals and oil products can be detected. They are hazardous to the environment and human health, especially when chemical substances from the soil get into the ground and surface water. Old cars and heavy transport flows, densely built areas and the poor window acoustic isolation contribute to unacceptable noise levels in residential areas. Therefore, noise levels exceed the norms in most residential zones.

Evaluation and analysis of Vilnius urban sustainability with an emphasis on pollution are necessary in order to minimize the above problems.

Sustainability assessment consists of several seemingly simple procedures: 1) selection of a set of indicators, 2) identifying their values, and 3) complex evaluation of indicators. However, further analysis shows that each of these stages is rather complicated and has many different constraints. The list of urban sustainability indicators is not finite. Each year hundreds of social, economic and environmental indicators are being established in the world. From this great number, tens of indicators are recognized as applicable for everyday use. Besides, there are no recommendations what number of indicators should describe a city: ten or hundred? It is also not clear whether the set of indicators should consist of simple, integrated or a combination of simple and integrated indicators.

Selecting an "ideal" set of indicators does not ensure the right result. Why? Very often it is not possible to define the values of the desirable indicators.

The so-called index of sustainability could be calculated by a wide range of methods varying from simple to very sophisticated methodologies. The results obtained may differ depending on the method applied.

The aims of the present work were to analyse the mentioned problems on the theoretical level and to suggest ways of their possible solution, as well as to try to assess the level of sustainability of a particular city.

SELECTION OF INDICATORS

The requirements for developing a comprehensive system of indicators are as follows: the system must be able to reflect every aspect of urban development, data for the indices must be possible to be collected from reliable sources and be consistent, the index system should accomodate the relationship between the evaluation indices and the evaluation criteria, especially to generate the corresponding evaluation indices based on evaluators' criteria (Feng, Xu, 1999).

According to Spangenberg et al. (2002), the indicators should be primarily national in scale and scope, relevant to the main objective of assessing progress towards sustainability, understandable, i.e. clear, simple and unambiguous, realisable within the capacities of national governments, given logistics, time, technical and other constraints, conceptually well founded, limited in number, remaining open-ended and adaptable to future developments, broad in coverage of Agenda 21 and all aspects of sustainable development, representative of an international consensus to the extent possible, and dependent on the data that are readily available or available at a reasonable cost-to-benefit ratio, adequately documented, of known quality and updated at regular intervals.

The Lithuanian researcher M. Burinskienė (2000, 2004) recomends to choose measurable indicators, i. e. embodied by numbers, percentage or coefficients, indicators whose data are published officially, i. e. the data collection methodology and the definitions used are clear.

It is important to point out that much effort has been made to establish the set of indicators representing urban sustainability in the best way. Despite all efforts, one may obtain different indicator systems. Indicator sets may contain many specific indicators, a few composite indicators, and a combination of key and simple indicators (Tweed, Jones, 2000).

It is possible to distinguish core and interlinkage indicators. One-dimensional core indicators are economic, environmental and institutional. Interlinkage indicators are environmentaleconomic, socio-economic, socio-environmental, economic-institutional, socio-institutional and environmental-institutional (Spangenberg, 2002).

Šaparauskas (2003, 2004) suggests grouping the indicators of urban sustainability into four groups. The third group of social, economic and environmental indicators represents the classical approach to sustainable development. Their interpretation and value for urban sustainability have been discussed in detail. The fourth group of engineering-technical indices characterises the



Fig. 1. Procedures of indicator selection

"technical" side of a sustainable city: engineering infrastructure (quality, efficiency, development degree, etc.), constructions and buildings of different purposes (wellness, economy, influence on the environment, aesthetics, etc.), of physical territorial planning.

The authors suggest six procedures for selection of most applicable indicators (see Fig. 1).

Based on the studies, on the framework for indicator selection (see Fig. 1) and available data, the authors have composed a system of indicators for sustainability evaluation of the Vilnius city (Table 1).

APPLYING TWO EVALUATION METHODS

Traffic light approach

Measurement indicators of sustainability by traffic (signal) lights or flags (see Fig. 1) over time can help explain weather a city's sustainability is getting better or worse. Indicators are

Table 1. Indicator system for sustainability evaluation of the Vilnius city (m = 12, n = 2)

Indicators	Unite	Years compared								
indicators	Units	2003	2004							
Economical										
1. Unemployment rate	% per year	5.5	3.6							
2. Direct foreign investment per inhabitant	thou. Lt	14.999	14.304							
3. Material investment per inhabitant	Lt	5206	6310							
4. Annual turnover of construction enterprises	mill. Lt	1403	1542							
Social										
5. Average earnings per job	Lt	1310	1391							
6. Municipal expenses for social needs	thou. Lt	378.810	459.390							
7. Floor area per person in housing	m²	22.6	23.3							
8. Number of people identifying obstacles to obtaining health care	per 100 000 inhabitants	1871.6	2023.5							
Environmental										
9. CO ₂ emissions from stationary sources	t/year	900	1030							
10. Municipal expenses for water quality treatment and measurement	thou. Lt	307.562	373.722							
11. Total solid waste produced	thou. t	235.734	242.055							
12. Green areas per inhabitant	m ²	8.0	9.1							

being measured for years and the index below can summarise trends since a baseline set. If each indicator starts with a score of 2, progress or deterioration can be tracked against this baseline. The sum of the score starts with a baseline. The higher the score, the more sustainable the city is (Nijkamp, Vreeker, 2000).

Twelve of the indicators have been measured for two years. The sum of the score started with a baseline of 24 in 2003, while the sum of the score in year 2004 was 28.



Fig. 2. Traffic lights

Simple additive weighting when weights are defined by the entropy method

The simple additive weighting method (SAW) is probably the best known and very widely used. The model is used to aggregate the scores into one score based on the criteria weights (Hwang, Yoon, 1981; Balcomb, Curtner, 2000; Triantaphyllou, 2000; Dejus, 2002; Zavadskas, Kaklauskas, 1996; Zavadskas et al., 2007).

At first, the scores are normalised (converted) by the formulas:

$$x_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{a_i^{\max}} \tag{1}$$

$$x_{ij} = \frac{a_j^{\min}}{a_{ij}},\tag{2}$$

where a_{ij} is a score for the criterion. When the criteria are maximised, formula 1 should be used, and formula 2 should be used when the criteria are minimised.

Then, the scores are aggregated into one score:

$$S_{SAW} = \max_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} \times w_{i}, \quad j = 1, ..., n,$$
(3)

where S_{SAW} is the total score, *n* is the number of criteria, w_i is the weight of a criterion, and x_{ij} is the normalised score for a criterion.

A set of alternatives contains a certain amount of information, and entropy can be used as a tool in criteria evaluation (Shannon, Weaver, 1947). The idea of entropy is particularly useful for investigating contrasts among the sets of data. By using eq. (4) we obtain p_{ij} for all *i* and *j*:

$$p_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}}, i = 1,...,m$$
(4)

The entropy of each attribute, E_i , the degree of diversification, d_i , and the normalized weight, w_i , are calculated by using eqs. (5), (6), and (7), respectively. They are:

$$E_{i} = -k \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij} \ln p_{ij}, \, i = 1, ..., m,$$
(5)

where *k* represents the constant $k = \frac{1}{\ln n}$ which guarantees that $0 \le E_i \le 1$.

$$d_i = 1 - E_i, \, i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{6}$$

$$w_i = \frac{d_i}{\sum_{m=1}^{m} d_i}.$$
(7)

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_i} \alpha_i$ Calculations according to formulas (4–7) and (1–3) gave the

following results: $S_{2003} = 0.758$; $S_{2004} = 0.992$.

In order to throw more light on the analysis of Vilnius urban sustainability with an emphasis on pollution (see Table 1), particular recommendations regarding environmental factors such as Vilnius transport and the analysis of the impact of pollution, noise, indoor microclimate and allergens causing allergy in premises, their impact on real estate price, market value and mass appraisal value are presented below.

RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING VILNIUS TRANSPORT

An analysis and particular recommendations for Vilnius are presented on the example of transport.

Evidence suggests that allergic respiratory diseases such as hay fever and bronchial asthma have become more common worldwide in the last two decades, and reasons for this increase are still largely unknown. A major responsible factor could be outdoor air pollution as derived from cars and other vehicles. Studies have demonstrated that urbanization, high levels of vehicle emissions and the western lifestyle are correlated with the increasing frequency of pollen-induced respiratory allergies (D'Amato, 2001). Air pollution may also cause heart diseases. High levels of pollution caused by heavy traffic and factories may trigger and speed up the narrowing of arteries and have immense implications on public health. Automobiles also pollute water; e.g., runoff from highways and roads can seep into our water systems and soil, impacting agricultural production and the environment. Pollution is hazardous to our health and is especially harmful to children, the elderly and those with respiratory ailments.

Air pollution may cause health problems for people living away from a two-way traffic road at peak periods. For example, over a period of one year (1990), surface soil samples were collected on each side of a two-way traffic road at a distance of 10 m and 500 m. The open site under study showed wind and rain to be two important parameters in transport pollution. In peak periods, the level of lead was very high at a distance of 1.5 m from the road (643 μ g·g⁻¹). Nevertheless, the residual level 500 m from the road remained significant (146 μ ·g⁻¹). When it rains, the lead pollution remains within close proximity and is also quite low because the lead penetrates deep into the soil by percolation; in dry conditions the pollution is carried by the wind to a distance of 500 m or more (Piron-Frenet et al., 1994).

The low technical parameters of some major Vilnius streets and crossroads restrict the traffic, and long distances between connections of these streets give rise to a greater transport concentration as well as traffic and pedestrian jams. The present network of Vilnius streets in the rush hours reaches road capacity limits; therefore, it is essential to reconstruct and develop streets, crossroads and bridges. If the network density is not increased, serious ecological consequences from heavy transportation may occur, because even at the moment in many places the environmental impact exceeds normative requirements. The high concentration of automobiles is one of the reasons for the increase in transport flow. Since public transportation (buses, trolleybuses, mini buses) is the main means of conveyance for most residents, a priority has been set on this area. Different actions need to be undertaken due to worsening ecological conditions and a shortage of parking places, as well as the insufficiently developed transportation infrastructure which causes huge traffic jams and is time-consuming both for drivers and passengers during rush hours (Vilnius, 2002).

Vehicles are the largest source of pollution in Vilnius. They pollute urban territories with exhaust gases, are very dynamic and have access to all urban territories, i.e. residential and industrial areas, urban centres, hospital and sanatorium areas and recreation zones. Therefore, the ecologic situation in Vilnius is constantly deteriorating. Exhaust gases contain about 200 various chemical compounds, and many of them are cancerigenic. The increasing pollution in urban areas has a negative effect on both the environment and traffic participants such as cyclists and pedestrians.

The average morbidity in unpolluted Vilnius areas is 125 per 100,000 people (age 0–19), and in polluted areas it reaches 216 per 100,000 people. There are by 72% more cases of chronic bronchitis among residents of polluted areas as compared to unpolluted areas. Another important regularity is an obvious correlation between atmospheric pollution and acute myocardial infarction morbidity.

Public passenger transport influences the preservation of the environmental balance. Atmospheric pollution data show that the emission of the main pollutants per public transport passenger is 4–8 times lower; besides, public transport consumes five times less energy per passenger than private transport. Public transport makes less noise and pollutes the environment less (per passenger).

The following activities are planned according to the Vilnius City Strategic Plan 2002–2011 in order to minimize the negative impact of the traffic on the environment (Vilnius..., 2002):

• to prepare city maps of noise and air pollution;

• to modernize existing systems of traffic management and to introduce new technologies;

 to increase the permeatability of the current network by introducing additional traffic lines, to optimize the working regime of traffic lights and to implement the "green wave" principle;

• to introduce technical and engineering protective measures (e.g., acoustic walls, greenery, causeys, noise muffling windows) to decrease the impact of transport;

• to approve and hold to the regulations of the program for the Vilnius City safe traffic, with exceptional attention to the safety of pedestrians and cyclists;

• to ensure standard street lighting during dark period hours;

• to improve the information system of the city communication;

• to promote the usage of ecological fuel, giving priority to electric means of transport.

Also, residents should be supplied with information on air and drinking water quality, on the ways to minimize health risks from the poor quality of air, and to reduce their contribution to pollution (Regional..., 1999).

Each of the general recommendations proposed at this stage carries several particular alternatives. For example, implementing the e-City (e-City..., 2003) project by one of the authors, a large number of worldwide public transport passenger and operator information systems have been analyzed. On the basis of the best practice analysis of the situation in this sphere in Vilnius, of available resources and experience, specific requirements for the establishment of such systems in Vilnius have been proposed. When the effects of the implementation of the project will be obvious, i.e. when the e-City and Passenger and Operator Information System will start functioning in Vilnius, the level of pollution in the city will decrease because:

• people will be supplied with information on the air quality, the ways of reducing health hazards, and how they can reduce atmospheric pollution caused by them. After the implementation of effective Passenger Information Systems, passengers of public transport and drivers of individual cars will be able to choose less polluted routes. In this way traffic jams in the most polluted city streets will be reduced;

• after implementation of an effective Operator Information System, the optimization of routes for various vehicles and the operation of their movement reliability will be more rational. With the help of these organizational means, the rational distribution of vehicles in the street network and coordination of all types of public transport, implementation of the park and ride system, pollution in the city will decrease. By using organizational means, e.g., rational distribution of transport flows in the network of streets, control of vehicles during technical inspections, forbidding heavy transport in some areas of the city at night, and coordinated traffic regulation systems, negative effects of transport on the environment may be reduced by 18–24 per cent;

 using the research of traffic flows performed by the Operator Information System and maps of pollution compiled as a result of the research, rational technological means (improvement of vehicles and fuel, use of electric transport) would help to reduce pollution;

• using the research of traffic flows performed by the Operator Information System and maps of pollution compiled as a result of the research, rational means for urban planning and construction (rational planning of the street network, i.e. bypasses, highways of incessant traffic, priority to the development of public transport, rational arrangement of buildings in urbanization areas, use of houses-displays, use of sound-proof windows) would reduce the pollution.

Implementation of e-Tickets and Operator and Passenger Information Systems developed within the project would also remarkably enhance the effectiveness of the regulation of transport flows. More precise data on public transport passenger flows obtained with the help of these systems, modeling of transport flows and various organizational and technological means, as well as means of urban construction and planning would provide for objective conditions to reduce the additional use of fuel at crossroads when engines are idle and to reduce pollution of the environment by exhaust gases due to acceleration and deceleration of transport, etc.

ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF POLLUTION, NOISE, INDOOR MICROCLIMATE AND ALLERGENS CAUSING ALLERGY IN PREMISES ON REAL ESTATE PRICE, MARKET VALUE AND MASS APPRAISAL VALUE

This chapter is based on the research of the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy Fellowship "Development of Market-Based Land Mass Appraisal Online System for Land Taxation" (LMAS-LT).

The Antakalnis and Žirmūnai areas of Vilnius (the Lithuanian capital) alongside the river (see Fig. 3) have been chosen to ana-

lyse the impact of pollution, noise, indoor microclimate and allergens causing allergy in premises on the real estate price, market value and mass appraisal value. The area is bounded by the Antakalnio, Žirmūnų, Kareivių and Šilo streets. It has also been selected due to the fact that the apartment houses located in it were built approximately in the same period (1960–1970).

Figure 3D depicts the objects (2-room apartments) whose supply price, market value and mass appraisal value have been analysed. These 2-room apartments are almost evenly distributed alongside the Neris River banks. The valuation of 2-room apartments under consideration was performed with a more





Fig. 4. Sections where air pollution and noise level were investigated

Fig. 3. Location of real estate under consideration

precise and accurate consideration by analysing not only traditional factors of the real estate value, but also other factors influencing on this value. The mass appraisal value is determined according to the LMAS-LT by using a system of criteria which consists of three subsystems: criteria that are usually employed for assessment of the market value of property by real estate companies; criteria describing the indoor microclimate and allergens of an apartment; criteria describing air and noise pollution of the environment. Also, the impact of the time factor on the transaction prices has been analysed, with the subsequent adjustment of prices.

The LMAS-LT includes an intelligent decision support tool based on methods of alternative generation, multiple criteria analysis and negotiation (Kaklauskas et al., 2005a,b, 2006 a, b, Zavadskas et al., 2002, 2003, 2004 a, b, c, 2005) developed by authors.

In Fig. 3 these 2-room apartments are enumerated according to the system used in multiple criteria analysis (see Fig. 5). In this case, our main target was to examine the impact of air pollution and noise on real estate price dynamics. When measuring air and noise pollution in several sections in Žirmūnai sections A–A, B–B and C–C; in Antakalnis sections D–D, E–E, also sepa-

	Тоо	ls H	lelp												
Back 🔻 💮 👻 😰	G	21	🔾 Search 🛛 🖓 Favorit	es 🛞 🔗	•	🧕 💽 ·	-		1						
ress 🗃 http://dss.vtu.lt/re	ealest	ate/								•	⇒ Go	Links ³	» Nort	on Anti\	/irus 🔒
			KNOWLE	DGE BASI	ED	E-NEGO		тон	DECI	SION	SUP	PORT	SYST	EM	
User		•													
Market-Based Land		No.	Criteria under evaluation	Measuring units of	*	Weights of	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995
System for Land Taxation		1	1 m ² price	Litas	-	1	4500	4900	5086	5024	5400	5100	4533	5029	5300
		2	Apartment area	m ²	+	0.06	44.16	46	44.43	35.83	44	44	44.12	47.72	47
Owelling (purpose) Real Estate		3	Building height	points	+	0.02	5	9	5	1	5	5	5	5	5
ommercial (purpose) Real Estate		4	Floor in which apartment is located	points	+	0.08	1	9	3	1	1	1	1	5	3
Mortgage loans		5	Years of construction	years	-	0.06	1967	1970	1965	1940	1964	1962	1966	1967	1963
Miscellaneous		6	Apartment condition	points	+	0.06	3	3	3	2	3	4	1	4	2
Statomas namas		7	Parking	points	+	0.08	1	2	2	2	4	2	1	3	3
		8	Heating	points	+	0.07	2	3	3	1	3	2	2	1	1
User Guide		9	Place	points	+	0.31	2	3	3	3	4	3	1	4	3
user durue		10	Communications	points	+	0.06	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1
1ethods of Defining		11	Transport flows	points	-	0.07	4	4	1	2	2	5	5	2	2
lue of a Real Estate		12	Level of contamination	points	-	0.04	4	3	2	2	1	4	5	1	2
Decision Support Systems		13	Evaluation of thermographic	points	+	0.011	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	3
	•	•													•
one												100	Interne	⊃†	
tart 👩 🕞 🖞 🎯 Veb-based Decision Sup	» opor	2 (Sys	tem for Real Estate	ntern… ▼	3 3 Inte	Microsof rnet Exple	. 🕶 🔳	ALKON	AS	B Mi	crosoft	Po	N « 🗾	00	07
tart 🔊 🕞 🖓 💿 Veb-based Decision Sup Edit View Favorites Back 🕶 💽 🛪 😰	»	Can 2 t Sys ls H	em for Real Estate	ntern… ▼	₩ 3 inte	Microsof rnet Expl	.▼ [E] orer ▼	ALKON	as 1	<u></u> мі	crosoft	Po	N « 🗾	00	07 /
tart tart	»	L Sys Is H	em for Real Estate lelp Search 🏠 Favorit	ntern 🔻 📘 - Microsoft I es 🕢 🔗	₩ 3 inte	Microsof rnet Expl	. 🕶 🔳	ALKON	as 1	MI V	crosoft	Po	Norta	on Anti	/irus 🔒
tart web-based Decision Sup Edit View Favorites Back ▼ ○ ▼ ▼ 2 ress 副 http://dss.vtu.lt/re	»	E Sys Is H L Sys Is H	Earch S I I	ntern v	inte	Microsof rnet Expl	.▼ [] orer ▼]	ALKON	AS		crosoft	Po	N «	on Anti\	1 07 /
tart web-based Decision Sup Edit View Favorites Back ▼ ○ ▼ ▼ 2 ress ● http://dss.vtu.it/res User	»	E Sys Is H Tate/	tem for Real Estate leip Search 🖓 Favorit KNOWLEI	ntern	₩ 3 inte	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC	orer		AS 1 DECI	SION	crosoft Go SUPI	Po E	Norte Norte	on Anti\ EM	07
tart web-based Decision Sup Edit View Favorites Back ▼ ○ ▼ ▼ 2 ress ⓐ http://dss.vtu.it/re User	»	2 2 1 Sys Is H ate/	tem for Real Estate lep Search C Favorit KNOWLEI	ntern •	₩ 3 inte	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015	. ▼ Drer ▼ DTIAT 9.55	ALKON	AS 1 DECI 10	M N SION 7.5	crosoft Go SUPI 8.45	Po	N « Norta Norta SYSTE 9.65	on Anti\ EM	07
art	» pport Too	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Lem for Real Estate lep Search C Favorit KNOWLEI Volume flow Air velocity	ntern •	₩ 3 inte D	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016		ALKON	AS 1 DECI 10 0.04	I I I I I I I I I I	Crosoft Go SUPI 8.45 0.09	Po E	N « Norti SYSTI 9.65 0.09	on Anti\ EM 8.25 0.07	/irus -
art	>> Too	2 2 t Sys ls H ate/ 14 15 16	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity	ntern •	₩ 3 inte D +	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015	.▼ Drer ▼ 9.55 0.08 35	ALKON	AS 1 DECI 10 0.04 41	SION 7.5 0.09 25.45	Crosoft Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45	Po E Links ' PORT S 10.5 0.08 55	 Norta 9.65 0.09 40.45 	on Anti\ M 8.25 0.07 30	/irus -
art	» pport Tooo	2 2 1 Sys 1 Sys 1 Sys 2 2 1 Sys 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature	ntern \checkmark Microsoft J es \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc DGE BASJ m ³ /h m/s % $_0$ C	₩ 3 inte = = = = + + +	Microsof met Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017	. ▼	ALKON	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17	N N SION 7.5 0.09 25.45 20	Crosoft SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18	Po E	 Norti 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 	on Anti\ EM 8.25 0.07 30 19	/rus // 8.75 0.08 30.5 20
art	» Tooo	2 2 t Sys ls H 14 15 16 17 18	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity	ntern ▼ Microsoft I es Ø Ø DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0C lux	₩ 3 inte ED + + + + +	Microsof met Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.018	. ▼ ■ orer ▼ ■ 0.08 35 18 80	ALKON	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5	SION 7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5	Crosoft SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110	Po E	 Norta 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 	on Anti\ M 8.25 0.07 30 19 95	/inus - (1) /inus
art	»	2 2 1 Sys 1 Sys 1 Sys 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es Ø Ø DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm	₩ 3 inte ED + + + + +	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.01	. • E orer • J 0.08 35 18 80 0.06	ALKON	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1	SION 7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06	Crosoft Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07	Po E	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 	on Antiv EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1	/irus
art art	>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Vibration Acoustic performance	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ② ② DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB	ED + + + + + +	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.017 0.018 0.01 0.015	 ▼ ■ ■	ALKON	AS 1 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50	N N N N N N N N N N	 Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 	Po E	 Norti 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 	000 Anti\ EM 8.25 0.07 300 19 95 0.1 45	 Image: A constraint of the second seco
art art	>	2 2 2 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites	ntern \checkmark Microsoft 1 es \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB %	₩ 3 inte = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.017 0.018 0.01 0.015 0.002		ALKON	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63	 M T.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 	Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80	Po E	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 75 	000 Anti\ EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90	Comparison of the second
art art	>	2 2 t Sys is H 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ② ② ③ DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % % % % 9% % 9% 9%	₩ 3 inte D + + + + + + - - -	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.015 0.015 0.002 0.002	 ▼ ■ ■	ALKON	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2	N SION 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1	Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 110 0.07 45 80 1	Links * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 75 1 	8.25 0.07 300 19 95 0.1 45 900	Image: A constraint of the second
art art	>	 2 1 Sys k H 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution	ntern ▼ Microsoft I es ② ③ DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % dB % dB	₩ 3 inte = = = = = = =	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.015 0.015 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.038	Comparison C	ALKON TON 11.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3	N SION 7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3	 Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 	Lunks ¹ PO E PORT 5 10.5 0.08 55 18 100.55 0.06 49 75 1 78	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 75 1 84.7 	 Don Antiv EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 60.3 	 Image: Constraint of the second second
art art	* Too	2 2 2 3 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution Carbon monoxide (CO)	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ⑦ ⑦ DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % dB µg/m ³	₩7 3 inte ED + + + + + + + - - - - -	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.015 0.002 0.002 0.038 0.017	P Orrer P O	ALKON TON 11.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78 4.12	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 2 54.3 0.21	 T.5 O.09 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3 0.28 	Go Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 1.51	Lunks ¹ POC E PORT : PORT : P	 Norts 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 466 75 1 84.7 7.13 	000 Antiv EM 8.25 0.07 300 19 95 0.1 45 900 1 60.3 0.66	 ▶ ▶
art	* poort	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution Carbon monoxide (CO) Suspended particulate matter	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 Microsoft 1 DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % % dB µg/m ³	₩ 3 inte = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.015 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002		ALKON II.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78 4.12 0.047	AS DECI 10 0.04 411 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3 0.21 0.001	 N N	 Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 	Lunks * PORT 5 10.5 0.08 55 18 100.55 0.06 49 75 1 78 4.12 0.047	 Norts 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 75 1 84.7 7.13 0.069 	8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 60.3 0.66 0.022	Image: Control of the second
tart tart	>>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution Carbon monoxide (CO) Suspended particulate matter Nitrogen oxide (NO ₂)	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ② ② DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % dB µg/m ³ µg/m ³	₩ 3 inte ED + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.017 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.0038 0.017 0.017 0.017	Comparison C	ALKON 11.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78 4.12 0.047 56	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3 0.21 0.001 49	7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3 0.28 0.001 522	 Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 51 	Links * 10.5 0.08 55 18 100.55 0.06 49 75 78 4.12 0.047 57	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 755 1 84.7 7.13 0.069 60 	000 Antil EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 1 60.3 0.66 0.022 50	 Image: Constraint of the second second
tart	>>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Image: Solution of the second sec	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ② ② ③ DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % g % %	₩ 3 inte = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Microsof rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.017 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.0017 0.017 0.017	Contraction Contracti	ALKON IDN 11.55 0.111 50 65.45 0.077 53 60 20 78 4.12 0.047 56 110	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3 0.21 0.001 49 78	7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3 0.28 0.001 52 75	 Go SUPP 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.09 35.45 18 100 100 45 80 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 51 84 	Po E 10.5 0.08 55 18 100.55 0.06 49 75 1 78 4.12 0.047 57 99	 Norts 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 75 1 84.7 7.13 0.069 60 110 	8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 60.3 0.66 0.022 50 62	Image: Control of the contro
tart	>> port Too	2 2 2 3 2 4 2 5 2 6 2 7 4 8 4 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 5 2 6 2 7 4 8 6 4 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 1 0 1 1 1 7 7 1 8 1 9 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Em for Real Estate P Search Carlow KNOWLER Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acousic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution Carbon monoxide (CO) Suspended particulate matter Nitrogen oxide (NO ₂₀) Ozone ack	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es	₩2 3 inte ED + + + + + + + + + + + + + - - - - - -	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.017 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.017 0.017 0.017 0.017	Comparison C	ALKON 11.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78 4.12 0.047 56 110	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3 0.21 0.001 49 78	7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3 0.28 0.001 522 75	 Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 51 84 	Po E Port : PORT	 Nortu 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 755 1 84.7 7.13 0.069 60 110 	000 AntU EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 1 60.3 0.66 0.022 50 62	Image: Control of the second secon
tart tart tart tart tart tart tart tart to based Decision Sup tart to based Decision Sup tart tar	>> port Too	2 2 2 3 2 4 1 2 2 5 2 6 2 7 4 8 4 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 5 2 6 2 7 4 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	tem for Real Estate bep Search ☆ Favorth KNOWLEI Volume flow Air velocity Relative humidity Air temperature Luminosity Vibration Acoustic performance House dust mites Dermotophagoides pteronysinus allergen Noise pollution Carbon monoxide (CO) Suspended particulate matter Nitrogen oxide (No ₂) Ozone aek	ntern ▼ Microsoft 1 es ② ② ③ DGE BASI m ³ /h m/s % 0 ^C lux mm dB % % dB µg/m ³ µg/m ³ µg/m ³	₩ 3 inte = D = + = + = + = + = + = + = + = + = + = +	Microsof. rnet Expl E-NEGC 0.015 0.015 0.017 0.018 0.017 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.017 0.017 0.017 0.017	Comparison C	ALKON 11.55 0.11 50 16 65.45 0.07 53 60 20 78 4.12 0.047 56 110	AS DECI 10 0.04 41 17 60.5 0.1 50 63 2 54.3 0.21 0.001 49 78	7.5 0.09 25.45 20 90.5 0.06 45 100 1 54.3 0.28 0.001 522 75	 Go SUPI 8.45 0.09 35.45 18 110 0.07 45 80 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 51 84 	Po E PORT : 10.5 0.08 55 18 100.55 0.06 49 75 1 78 4.12 0.047 57 99	 Nortr 9.65 0.09 40.45 18 90.5 0.06 46 755 1 84.7 7.13 0.069 60 110 Internetic structure 	000 Antil EM 8.25 0.07 30 19 95 0.1 45 90 1 1 60.3 0.66 0.022 50 62	 ▶ № 07 ■ № 8.75 0.08 30.5 200 100 0.05 46 100 1 65.2 1.51 0.003 49 82

Fig. 5. Initial data for calculation of 2-room apartment mass appraisal value: upper part of matrix (*left*); lower part of matrix (*right*)

rately in each section near streets, in the middle and near the river (see Fig. 4), it was noted that air pollution as well as noise level significantly decreased with the distance from the street. For example, in the Žirmūnai area air pollution decreased by up to 40% when moving closer to the river, while in Antakalnis the same value amounted to 30%. Air pollution also depended on the type of pollution. For example, the content of solid particles in the air while receding from the street decreased fairly rapidly and approached the zero value, i.e. from 0.073 μ g/m³ (maximal value) to 0.001 μ g/m³ (minimal value). Table 2 gives more infor-

mation on air pollution and noise in the Žirmūnai (A–A, B–B and C–C) and Antakalnis (D–D, E–E) sections near the street and near the river.

Air pollution and noise level obviously depended on the distance between the street and the river. More information on the sections (A–A, B–B, C–C, D–D, E–E), analysis of Table 2 and identified levels of air and noise pollution are available at the http://dss.vtu.lt/realestate/ website "Market-Based Land Mass Appraisal Online System for Land Taxation" section "Outside environment" subsystem.

		u			Ai	Noise							
Section (see Fig. 4) Distance from street to river	sctic			СО		S	olid partic						
	Number of buil-dings in se	Measuring units	Near street	Near river	Alteration every 10 m	Near street	Near river	Alteration every 10 m	Measuring units	Near street	Near river	Alteration every 10 m	
A-A	500	10	µg/m³	7.13	0.66	0.129	0.069	0.022	0.0009	dB	84.7	60.3	0.5
B-B	300	6	µg/m³	2.94	0.21	0.091	0.076	0.001	0.0025	dB	79.5	54.3	0.84
C–C	100	2	µg/m³	4.97	0.28	0.469	0.057	0.001	0.0056	dB	83.2	54.3	2.89
D–D	320	4	µg/m³	4.12	0.92	0.1	0.047	0.001	0.0014	dB	78	61.3	0.52
E-E	200	9	µg/m³	4.12	1.51	0.1305	0.047	0.003	0.0022	dB	78	65.2	0.64

Table 2. Air pollution and noise in Žirmūnai (sections A–A, B–B and C–C) and Antakalnis (sections D–D, E–E) near street and river



Fig. 6. Graphical comparison of supply price market value and mass appraisal value of 2-room apartments

Therefore, given such significant air pollution and noise alterations it is possible to make an assumption concerning the impact of these factors on real estate prices, i.e. the real estate market values closer to the street should be somewhat lower than near the river. Consequently, the real estate in question was grouped according to its proximity to the river and street. In parallel, data were gathered on its supply prices, market values and mass appraisal values. When comparing supply prices of real estate located near the street and near the river, our task was to determine whether air and noise pollution were taken into account when selling or buying property. We founds that the price differed depending on the property location. Therefore we can make a conclusion that air and noise pollution exerts a rather sizeable influence on property prices, and owners are aware of it.

Figure 6 graphically compares the supply price, market value and mass appraisal value of 2-room apartments. Supply prices and initial data for calculation of 2-room apartments' market and mass appraisal values by LMAS-LT were submitted by real estate broker companies, whereas official mass appraisal values of these apartments were estimated by the State Enterprise Centre of Registers. The market values of the real estate were calculated after the LMAS-LT database had been supplemented with new data-criteria of indoor microclimate (volume flow, air velocity, air temperature, relative humidity, dew point temperature, vibration impulse amplitudes), indoor allergens (house dust mites and *Dermatophagoides pteronysinus* allergen) and the outdoor environment (noise pollution, carbon monoxide (CO), suspended particulate matter, nitrogen oxide (NO_x), ozone). Figure 6 on its horizontal axis represents 21 apartments located in the zone under analysis, while the vertical axis shows the property price per 1 m². The continuous curve reflects a 2-room apartment supply price, the dotted curve stands for mass appraisal value calculated by the State Enterprise Centre of Registers, whereas the dashed curve displays a market value calculated accounting for additional criteria with the help of LMAS-LT.

The property market value calculated with the help of LMAS-LT relies on more criteria than the value presented by the State Enterprise Centre of Registers. LMAS-LT employs multiple criteria analysis and considers not only traditional criteria that describe a property, but also the indoor microclimate, allergens in the dwelling and air, and noise. The additional criteria enable a more precise evaluation of the market value of these apartments, which in turn helps assessing their mass appraisal value. According to the currently valid methodology, in Lithuania the market and the mass appraisal values are equal. Of course, the mass appraisal values calculated by LMAS-LT and the State Enterprise Centre of Registers differ. The mass appraisal values calculated by the State Enterprise Centre of Registers are considerably lower than the values obtained with the help of LMAS-LT. Thus, adopting additional criteria that describing the indoor microclimate, allergens in the dwelling and air, noise, and employing the methods of multiple criteria analysis developed by the authors it is possible to assess mass appraisal values more precisely.

CONCLUSIONS

There are various approaches to and different priorities of sustainable urban development in different countries. It is not surprising, because there are very divergent views and interpretations in various countries - those that have developed market economies, those with transition economies, and in developing countries. Different criteria systems (political, economic, social, cultural, institutional, technological, environmental, legal / regulatory and educational) characterize sustainable urban development. In order to select the best alternative, on forming the grouped decision-making matrix, it is necessary to perform a multiple criteria analysis of the alternatives. This is done by comparing the weights and the numerical values of criteria and analysing the conceptual information of the alternatives. The alternatives can be described only on the basis of a system comprising many criteria with different values and dimensions. Such a variety of criteria makes it difficult to compare the projects directly. The above problems and their solution are discussed in the paper.

> Received 20 November 2006 Accepted 24 January 2007

References

- Balcomb J. D., Curtner A. 2000. MCDM-23: a multi-criteria decision making tool for buildings. *Proceedings* of *International Conference on Sustainable Building*. Maastricht, The Netherlands. P. 219–221.
- 2. Burinskienė M. 2000. Sustainable development in masterplans of Lithuanian cities. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management (Statyba)*. Vol. 7, Supplement. P. 3–9.
- Burinskiene M., Rudzkiene V. 2004. Presentation Strategy of Data Analysis and Knowledge for Web-based Decision Support in Sustainable Urban Development. *LNCS* 3183, Berlin: Springer. P. 150–155.
- 4. D'Amato G., Liccardi G., D'Amato M., Cazzola M. 2001. The role of outdoor air pollution and climatic changes on the rising trends in respiratory allergy. *Respiratory Medicine*. Vol. 95. Issue 7. P. 606–611.
- Dejus T. 2002. The model of determining the sensitivity of elements of multiple criteria evaluation methods. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*. Vol. 8. No. 4. P. 263–268.
- 6. *e-City*. 2003. Contract no.: 2003/004.341.08.01.01.0001.
- Feng S., Xu L. D. 1999. Decision support for fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of urban development. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. Vol. 105. P. 1–12.
- Hwang Ch. L., Yoon K. 1981. Multiple Attribute Decision Making. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer-Verlag. 260 p.
- Jaynes E. T. 1957. Information Theory and Statistical Mechanics. *Physical Review*. Vol. 106. No. 4. P. 620–630.
- Kaklauskas A., Ditkevičius R., Gargasaitė L. 2006a. Intelligent tutoring system for real estate management. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*. Vol. 10. No. 2. P. 113–130.

- 11. Kaklauskas A., Zavadskas E. K., Raslanas S., Ginevicius R., Komka A., Malinauskas P. 2006b. Selection of low-e windows in retrofit of public buildings by applying multiple criteria method COPRAS: A Lithuanian case. *Energy and Buildings*. Vol. 38. Issue 5. P. 454–462.
- Kaklauskas A., Zavadskas E. K., Raslanas S. 2005a. Multivariant design and multiple criteria analysis of building refurbishments. *Energy and Buildings*. Vol. 37. No. 4. P. 361–372.
- Kaklauskas A., Gikys M. 2005b. Increasing efficiency of multiple listing service systems applying web-based decision support system for real estate. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*. Vol. 11. No. 2. P. 91–97.
- Nijkamp P., Vreeker R. 2000. Sustainability assessment of development scenarios: methodology and application to Thailand. *Ecological Economics*. Vol. 33. P. 7–27.
- Piron-Frenet M., Bureau F., Pineau A. 1994. Lead accumulation in surface roadside soil: its relationship to traffic density and meteorological parameters. *The Science of The Total Environment*. Vol. 144. Issues 1–3. 29. P. 297–304.
- 16. Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe. 1999. Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environment and Health Matters. Third European Ministerial Conference on Environment and Health, London.
- Šaparauskas J. 2003. Multiple criteria evaluation of buildings with emphasis on sustainability. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*. Vol. 9. No. 4. P. 234–240.
- Šaparauskas J. 2004. Multi-attribute evaluation and modelling of sustainable urban development. Doctoral Dissertation. Vilnius: Technika. 143 p.
- Shannon C. E., Weaver W. 1947. *The Mathematical Theory* of *Communication*. Urbana: The University of Illinois Press. 111 p.
- Spangenberg J. H. 2002. Environmental space and the prism of sustainability: frameworks for indicators measuring sustainable development. *Ecological Indicators*. Vol. 2. P. 295–309.
- 21. Spangenberg J. H., Pfahl S., Deller K. 2002. Towards indicators for institutional sustainability: lessons from an analysis of Agenda 21. *Ecological Indicators*. Vol. 2. P. 61–77.
- 22. Triantaphyllou E. 2000. *Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods: A Comparative Study.* Dordrechet: Kluwer Academic Publishers. 290 p.
- 23. Tweed Ch. and Jones Ph. 2000. The role of models in arguments about urban sustainability. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. Vol. 20. Issue 3. P. 277–287.
- 24. Vilnius City Municipality. http://www.vilnius.lt.
- 25. Vilnius city strategic plan 2002–2011. 2002. Approved by Vilnius City Municipal Council, Resolution No. 607, June 19, 2002.
- Zavadskas E. K., Ustinovičius L., Turskis Z., Peldschus F., Messing D. 2002. Multiple criteria evaluation program for construction solution. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management.* Vol. 8. No. 3. P. 184–191.
- Zavadskas E. K., Ustinovičius L., Peldschus F. 2003. Development of software for multiple criteria evaluation. *Informatica*. Vol. 14. No. 2. P. 259–272.

- Zavadskas E. K., Kaklauskas A., Banaitis A., Kvederytė N. 2004a. Housing credit access model: the case of Lithuania. *European Journal of Operation Research*. Vol. 155. No. 2. P. 335–352.
- Zavadskas E. K., Kaklauskas A., Gulbinas A. 2004b.Multiple criteria Web-based decision support system for building retrofit. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*. Vol. 10. No. 1. P. 77–85.
- Zavadskas E. K., Kaklauskas A., Vainiūnas P., Šaparauskas J. 2004c. A model of sustainable urban development formation. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management.* Vol. 8. No. 4. P. 219–229.
- Zavadskas E. K., Kaklauskas A., Banaitis A., Trinkūnas V. 2005. System for real time support in construction materials selection. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*. Vol. 9. No. 2. P. 99–109.
- Zavadskas E. K., Turskis Z., Dejus T., Viteikienė M. 2007. Sensitivity analysis of simple additive weight method. *International Journal of Management and Decision Making*. Vol. 8. No. 5/6. P. 229–248.

Edmundas Zavadskas, Artūras Kaklauskas, Jonas Šaparauskas, Darius Kalibatas

VILNIAUS DARNAUS VYSTYMOSI ĮVERTINIMAS TARŠOS POŽIŪRIU

Santrauka

Darnus vystymasis — tai ilgalaikė nuolatinė visuomenės plėtra, siekiant tenkinti žmonijos poreikius dabar ir ateityje, racionaliai naudojant bei papildant gamtos išteklius, išsaugant Žemę ateities kartoms.

Siekiant nustatyti darnią miesto vystymosi strategiją siūloma ją vertinti pagal tam tikrus rodiklius. Toks miesto darnos rodiklių sąrašas yra negalutinis, nes miesto vystymasis priklauso nuo šalies politinės, ekonominės, socialinės, kultūrinės, institucinės, technologinės, aplinkos, teisinės ir švietimo situacijų, kurios tarpusavyje persipina ir glaudžiai susijusios. Kiekvienais metais pasiūloma šimtai naujų socialinių, ekonominių ir aplinkos rodiklių. Siekiant lengviau ir sparčiau parinkti pagal nustatytus rodiklius miesto darnaus vystymosi strategiją, turi būti taikomi sprendimų priėmimo metodai. Straipsnyje nagrinėjama rodikliai miesto darnaus vystymosi strategijai parinkti ir miesto darnaus vystymosi strategijos kokybės vertinimo metodai. Analizuodami Vilniaus darnųjį vystymąsi, autoriai ypač daug dėmesio skiria šiems rodikliams: transporto sukeliama tarša, triukšmas, patalpų vidaus mikroklimatas ir alergenai. Išnagrinėjus statistikos duomenis apie Vilniaus miesto gyventojų sergamumą buvo nustatyta oro užterštumo įtaka žmogaus sveikatai, tikimybei susirgti tam tikromis ligomis ir nekilnojamojo turto kainai. Atsižvelgiant į transporto srauto rodiklius siūlomi oro taršos, kylančios nuo transporto priemonių, mažinimo būdai.

Raktažodžiai: miesto darnus vystymasis, tarša, sprendimų priėmimas, pavyzdžiai